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Candida is the predominant fungal pathogen in the ICU setting: EPIC II


## Global Surveillance Study

- 13,796 adults in 1,265 ICUs in 75 countries
- Candida responsible for $88 \%$ of 963 fungal infections
- $89 \%$ in Europe ( $\mathrm{n}=633$ ) \& $85 \%$ elsewhere ( $\mathrm{n}=330$ )

Candida $\square$ Aspergillus $\square$ Others

## Candida blood stream infections in the ICU

## Global Surveillance Study: EPIC II

- 99 patients with Candidaemia
- Prevalence of 6.9/1,000 ICU patients
- 70\% Candida Albicans
- Mortality of $43 \%$ (vs. $25 \%$ for gram +ve, \& $29 \%$ gram -ve BSI)
- Fluconazole was the most frequent therapy given (in 2007)


## Healthcare-associated BSI: A distinct entity?

Multivariate logistic regression analysis of 6,697 patients
$\square$ Odds Ratio for Mortality


Shorr A et al, Crit Care Med 2006;34:2588-95

Question 1: In patients who have a Candidaemia BSI, when does it typically occur in relation to 'time from admission'?

1. Early (within the first 7 days of ICU admission)
2. Late (after 14 days or more)
3. Somewhere in the middle (7-14 days)
4. No different to other Blood Stream Infections
5. I don't know...

## Candida blood stream infections in the ICU: EPIC II



Kett D, et al. Crit Care Med 2011;39:665-70

## Question 2: In my ICU, Fungal infections are...

1. Decreasing in frequency
2. Staying about the same
3. Increasing in frequency
4. To be honest, I don't know...

## Candida as a proportion of other infections...



St Thomas' Hospital Data: 30-bed ICU over 8 years (Unpublished)

## Candida species in epidemiological surveys

| Author | Period of observation | Study | Region | No. of strains | Candida albicans | Candida tropicalis | Candida parapsilosi | Candida glabrata | Candida krusei |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pfaller et al. [10] | 2008-2009 | SENTRY | Worldwide | 2'085 | 48\% | 11\% | 17\% | 18\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  | Europe | 750 | 55\% | 7\% | 14\% | 16\% | 3\% |
|  |  |  | North <br> America | 936 | 43\% | 11\% | 17\% | 24\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  | Latin <br> America | 348 | 44\% | 17\% | 26\% | 5\% | 1\% |
|  |  |  | Asia | 51 | 57\% | 12\% | 14\% | 14\% | 2\% |
| Marra et al. [11] | 2007-2010 | SCOPE | Brazil | 137 | 34\% | 15\% | 24\% | 10\% | 2\% |
| Arendrup et al. [9] | 2004-2007 |  | Denmark | 2901 | 57\% | 5\% | 4\% | 21\% | 4\% |
| Horn et al. [12] | 2004-2008 | PATH | North America | 2019 | 46\% | 8\% | 16\% | 26\% | 3\% |
| Leroy et al. [7] | 2005-2006 | AmarCand | France ICU | 305 | 57\% | 5\% | 8\% | 17\% | 5\% |
| Talarmin et al. [13] | 2004 |  | France <br> West | 193 | 55\% | 5\% | 13\% | 19\% | 4\% |
| Bougnoux et al. [14] | 2001-2002 |  | Paris ICU | 57 | 54\% | 9\% | 14\% | 17\% | 4\% |
| Marchetti et al. [2] | 1991-2000 | FUNGINOS | Switzerland | 1137 | 64\% | 9\% | 1\% | 15\% | 2\% |
| Sandven et al. [15] | 1991-2003 |  | Norway Nationwide | 1393 | 70\% | 7\% | 6\% | 13\% | 1\% |
| Pfaller et al. [16] | 1997-2005 | ARTEMIS | Mondial ** | 55'229 | 71\% | 5\% | 5\% | 10\% | 2\% |
| Tortorano et al. [8] | 1997-1999 | ECMM | Europe | 2089 | $52 \%$ | 7\% | 13\% | 13\% | 2\% |

## Risk factors for Invasive Candidiasis

- Colonisation of several body sites
- Broad-spectrum antibiotics
- Neutropaenia
- Burns (>50\%)
- Major abdominal surgery
- Surgery of the urinary tract in the presence of candiduria
- Parenteral nutrition
- AKI
- APACHE >20
- CVC in place
- Diabetes
- Prolonged ICU stay


## Potentially modifiable risk factors in yellow

## Risk factors for Invasive Disease

Overgrowth

## Approaches to antifungal therapy



## Assessing the risk of Invasive Candidiasis

At-risk patients


| Predictive rules |
| :--- |
| - $\geq 4^{\text {th }}$ day of ICU |
| - Sepsis + CVC + |
| MV +1 of: |
| - TPN or AKI or |
| Major Surgery or |
| Steroids |




| Candida Score |
| :--- |
| - Surgery @ ICU adm |
| - TPN |
| - Severe sepsis |
| - Candida colonisation |
| - >2.5 points |




## Colonisation Index

- Number of sites/number screened
- $2 x$ weekly
- >0.5 or $\geq 0.4$ corrected



## Start empirical antifungal treatment

Patients Tx: 10-15\% Candidiasis captured: 60-75\%

Patients Tx: 15-20\% Candidiasis
captured: 75-80\%

Patients Tx: 10-15\%
Candidiasis
captured: 85-90\%

## Prediction of invasive candidal infection in critically ill patients with severe acute pancreatitis

Alison M Hall ${ }^{1}$, Lee AL Poole ${ }^{1}$, Bryan Renton ${ }^{2}$, Alexa Wozniak ${ }^{1}$, Michael Fisher ${ }^{3}$, Timothy Neal ${ }^{3}$, Christopher M Halloran ${ }^{4}$, Trevor Cox ${ }^{5}$ and Peter A Hampshire ${ }^{1 *}$


No. of Candida species isolated


Species in infected patients

## Comparison of the various scoring systems

|  | Modified Invasive <br> Candidiasis Score | Candida <br> Score | Candida <br> Colonisation <br> Index |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sensitivity <br> $(95 \% \mathrm{CI})$ | 0.61 | 0.23 | 0.67 |
| Specificity | $0.36-0.83)$ | $(0.1-0.42)$ | $(0.41-87)$ |
| $(95 \% \mathrm{CI})$ | $(0.38-0.61)$ | $(0.74-0.92)$ | 0.79 |
| AUC ROC | 0.59 | $0.62-0.88)$ |  |
| $(95 \% \mathrm{CI})$ | $(0.49-0.69)$ | $(0.52-0.71)$ | 0.79 |

## Biomarkers vs. Risk Scores



Figure 3 ROC AUC curves of BG, CS, and colonization index for proven IC cases. [The AUC of BG was significantly higher than those of CS ( $P<0.001$ ) and colonization index ( $P<0.001$ ), please edit this sentence as a footnote].

## Biomarkers vs. Risk Scores

|  | Assessment | No Candida <br> colonisation <br> $(\mathrm{n}=61)$ | Candida <br> colonisation <br> $(\mathrm{n}=84)$ | Invasive <br> Candidiasis <br> $(\mathrm{n}=31)$ | $P$ <br> value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Candida score | Max. | 2 | 3 | 4 | 0.001 |
|  | 1 st | 2 | 4 | 5 | 0.001 |
| $1 \rightarrow 3-\beta-\mathrm{D}-$ | Max. | 9 | 45 | 54 | 0.11 |
| glucan (pg/ml) | 1 st | 52 | 66 | 268 | 0.003 |
| C-reactive | Max. | 201 | 207 | 172 | 0.91 |
| Protein (mg/L) | 1 st | 248 | 241 | 283 | 0.41 |
| Procalcitonin | Max. | 0.89 | 0.58 | 1.11 | 0.59 |
| (ng/ml) | 1 st | 1.25 | 0.59 | 3.33 | 0.18 |

All median values

## Treatment related risk factors for Mortality

## All Hospital

$$
\text { Lived }(\mathrm{n}=173) \quad \text { Expired }(\mathrm{n}=72)
$$

Mechanical ventilation, n (\%)
MV days prior to + culture $^{a}$
Prior antibiotics, n (\%)
151 (87.3)
61 (84.7)
Prior antifungal, n (\%)
26 (15.0)
14 (19.4)
Central vein catheter, n (\%)
155 (89.6)
62 (86.1)
TPN, n (\%)
38 (22.0)
10 (13.9)
Foley catheter, n (\%) 97 (56.1)

46 (63.9)
Surgical drain, n (\%)
39 (22.5)
10 (13.9)
Corticosteroids, n (\%)
42 (24.3)
26 (36.1)
Vasopressor, ${ }^{b}$ (\%)
14 (8.1)
26 (36.1)
CVC removed, n (\%)
140 (90.3)
36 (58.1)
Treatment within 24 hrs , n (\%)
25 (14.5)
12 (16.7)
Treatment within 48 hrs , n (\%)
111 (64.2)
34 (47.2)
Inadequate initial fluconazole dosing, n (\%)
21 (12.1)
20 (27.8)

## Treatment related risk factors for Mortality



Number of treatment related risk factors

- Retention of CVC
- Inadequate initial fluconazole dosing
- Therapy delayed beyond 48 hours


## Treatment related risk factors for Mortality: inappropriate antimicrobial therapy

inappropriate
appropriate


Kumar A, et al. Chest 2009;136:1237-48

Question 4: Which statement is most accurate about my institution?

1. We struggle to get $50 \%$ of patients with septic shock to receive antimicrobials within 1 hour
2. We are better than $50 \%$ but still less than $75 \%$
3. I think/know we are better than $75 \%$
4. We're not too bad with antibacterials, but there can be significant delays with Antifungals for patients with Candidaemia

## Medscape General Surgery



## Need a way to stay informed

 on-the-go?Access information from industry from your mobile device

CDC Releases
Foodborne Illness
Report Card


New ASCCP
Guidelines: Equal
Management for Equal
Risk


Medscape Medical News
Candida: New Rapid Blood Test Could Cut Mortality
Ricki Lewis, PhD
Apr 25, 2013


## Editors' Recommendations

Empiric Antifungal Therapy for Candidiasis in Preemies

American Thoracic Society Issues Guidelines on Treating Pulmonary Fungal Infections

A new, rapid test for Candida infections of the bloodstream may cut mortality from $40 \%$ to $11 \%$, according to a report published in the April 24 issue of Science Translational Medicine.

Lori A. Neely, PhD, from T2 Biosystems in Lexington, Massachusetts, and colleagues teamed polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and nanotechnology with T2 magnetic resonance (T2MR) technolocy to create an assav that

## Delay in initiation of antifungal therapy

$\square$ \% Hospital Mortality


Kollef M, et al. Clin Inf Dis 2012;54:1739-46

## Delay in initiation of antifungal therapy

Table 2. Infection and Treatment-Related Characteristics

|  | Lived | Died |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(n=69)$ | $(n=155)$ | $P$ value |

Infection source, n (\%)

| Vascular catheter-associated | $37(53.6)$ | $86(55.5)$ | .796 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Respiratory | $11(15.9)$ | $24(15.5)$ | .931 |
| Urinary | $11(15.9)$ | $21(13.5)$ | .636 |
| Gastrointestinal | $8(11.6)$ | $19(12.3)$ | .888 |
| Central nervous system | $1(1.4)$ | $0(0.0)$ | .308 |
| or skin structure | $7(10.1)$ | $14(9.0)$ | .792 |
| Surgical site | $0(0.0)$ | $2(1.3)$ | 1.000 |
| Cardiac | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | 1.000 |
| Other | $1(1.4)$ | $5(3.2)$ | .669 |
| Candida species, $\mathrm{n}(\%)^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |  |  |
| C. albicans | $34(49.3)$ | $86(55.5)$ | .390 |
| C. glabrata | $21(30.4)$ | $34(21.9)$ | .172 |
| C. parapsilosis | $10(14.5)$ | $18(11.6)$ | .547 |
| C. tropicalis | $4(5.8)$ | $10(6.5)$ | 1.000 |
| C. krusei | $1(1.4)$ | $5(3.2)$ | .669 |
| Other species | $2(2.9)$ | $3(1.9)$ | .645 |

Kollef M, et al. Clin Inf Dis 2012;54:1739-46

## Delay in initiation of antifungal therapy

|  | Lived $(\mathrm{n}=69)$ | Died $(\mathrm{n}=155)$ | $P$ value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prior antibiotics, n (\%): | 45 (65.2) | 112 (72.3) | . 288 |
| Initial antifungal agent, n (\%) |  |  |  |
| Echinocandin | 53 (76.8) | 76 (49.0) | <. 001 |
| Fluconazole/voriconazole | 13 (18.8) | 25 (16.1) | $\ldots$ |
| Amphotericin | 3 (4.3) | 13 (8.4) | $\ldots$ |
| None | 0 (0.0) | 41 (26.5) |  |
| Treatment within $12 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{n}(\%)^{\text {b }}$ | 31 (44.9) | 65 (41.9) | . 676 |
| Treatment within $24 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{n}(\%)^{\text {b }}$ | 68 (98.6) | 112 (72.3) | <. 001 |
| Drotrecogin alfa (activated), n (\%) | 1 (1.4) | 1 (0.6) | . 522 |
| Corticosteroids, n (\%): | 12 (17.4) | 42 (27.1) | . 117 |
| GCSF, n (\%) | 2 (2.9) | 19 (12.3) | . 026 |
| Source control required, (n (\%) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 49 (71.0) | 97 (62.6) | . 221 |
| Inadequate source control, $\mathrm{n}(\%)^{\text {d }}$ | 1 (1.4) | 61 (39.4) | <. 001 |
| Mechanical ventilation, n (\%) | 34 (49.3) | 143 (92.3) | <. 001 |
| Red blood cell transfusion, n (\%) | 28 (40.6) | 123 (79.4) | <. 001 |
| Total crystalloid solution (L) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $4.3 \pm 1.3$ | $4.9 \pm 1.5$ | . 010 |

Kollef M, et al. Clin Inf Dis 2012;54:1739-46

## The cost of delayed therapy

## Hospital resource utilization $\mathbb{E}$ cost of treatment of candidaemia

- 167 Adults with Candidaemia
- Culture confirmed BSI with Candida within 14 days of admission
- Appropriate = according to IDSA Guideline \& 'in-vitro sens'
- Post-culture stay was shorter with appropriate therapy
(mean 7 vs. 10 days $p=0.037$ )
- Costs were also lower: $\sim \$ 16,000$ vs. $\sim \$ 33,000$ ( $p<0.001$ )

Question 5: The dose of fluconazole I would use in a patient with septic shock \& receiving $R R T$ ( $\sim 25 \mathrm{mls} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{hour}$ CVVHF/DF) is...

1. 200 mg IV OD
2. 400 mg IV OD
3. 400 mg IV BD (or 800 mg OD)
4. $\sim 12 / \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ load $\&$ then $\sim 6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$
5. Something else

Question 5: The dose of fluconazole I would use in a patient with septic shock $\mathbb{\&}$ receiving RRT ( $\sim 25 \mathrm{mls} / \mathrm{kg} /$ hour CVVHF/DF) is...

## 1. 200mg IV OD

2. 400 mg IV OD
3. 400 mg IV BD (or 800 mg OD)
4. $\sim 12 / \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ load \& then $\sim 6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$
5. Something else


## Fluconazole dosing with RRT




- Variable doses of fluconazole in 4 CVVHDF treated patients
- MIC for fluconazole is considered $6 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{ml}$
- 'Estimated correct dose could be as high as 500-600mg 12 hourly...'


## Fluconazole dosing with RRT



- 9 CVVHF treated patients
- Fluconazole 800 mg IV od
- CVVHF at 1L or 2L/hour
(1/3rd predilution)

Bergner R, et al. NDT 2006;21:1019-23

## Anidulafungin versus Fluconazole for Invasive Candidiasis

Annette C. Reboli, M.D., Coleman Rotstein, M.D., Peter G. Pappas, M.D., Stanley W. Chapman, M.D., Daniel H. Kett, M.D., Deepali Kumar, M.D., Robert Betts, M.D., Michele Wible, M.S., Beth P. Goldstein, Ph.D., Jennifer Schranz, M.D., David S. Krause, M.D., and Thomas J. Walsh, M.D., for the Anidulafungin Study Group


## Response according to different species

Table 3. Microbiologic and Global Responses at the End of Intravenous Therapy in the Modified Intention-to-Treat Population.:

| Candida Pathogen | Successful Microbiologic Response |  |  | Successful Global Response $\dagger$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Anidulafungin Group no. of isolates | Fluconazole Group otal no. (\%) | P Value | Anidulafungin Group no. of patien | Fluconazole Group otal no. (\%) | P Value |
| Candida albicans | 77/81 (95) | 57/70 (81) | 0.01 | 60/74 (81) | 38/61 (62) | 0.02 |
| C. glabrata | 15/20 (75) | 18/30 (60) | 0.37 | 9/16 (56) | 11/22 (50) | 0.75 |
| C. parapsilosis | 9/13 (69) | 14/16 (88) | 0.36 | 7/11 (64) | 10/12 (83) | 0.37 |
| C. tropicalis | 13/15 (87) | 7/11 (64) | 0.35 | 13/14 (93) | 4/8 (50) | 0.04 |
| Other candida species | 5/6 (83) | 3/3 (100) | 1.00 | 3/4 (75) | 2/3 (67) | 1.00 |
| All candida species | 119/135 (88) | 99/130 (76) | 0.02 | 92/119 (77) | 65/106 (61) | 0.01 |

## RESEARCH

Anidulafungin compared with fluconazole in severely ill patients with candidemia and other forms of invasive candidiasis: Support for the 2009 IDSA treatment guidelines for candidiasis

Daniel H Kett ${ }^{1 *}$, Andrew F Shorr ${ }^{2}$, Annette C Reboli ${ }^{3}$, Arlene $L$ Reisman ${ }^{4}$, Pinaki Biswas ${ }^{5}$ and Haran T Schlamm ${ }^{4}$

- Re-analysis of the Reboli (NEJM paper)
- Focus on patients who were critically ill
- 163/245 (66.5\%) - severe sepsis or APACHE >15


Figure 1 Difference in global response at end of treatment among severely ill patients and the various subpopulations.

## Time to negative blood cultures: Static vs. Cidal



No. at risk:

| Days | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anidulafungin | 25 | 20 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Fluconazole | 24 | 21 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 1 |

Reboli AC, et al. BMC Infectious Diseases 2011;11:261

2012 ESCMID Recommendations on fever- \& diagnosisdriven therapy of candidaemia $\mathscr{A}$ invasive candidiasis

| Population \& Intention | Intervention |  <br> QoE |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| ICU patients with fever despite ABXs \& APACHE <br> $>16 ;$ to resolve fever | Flucon 800 mg od | D-1 |
| ICU patients with persistent fever but with no <br> micro evidence; to reduce mortality | Fluconazole or <br> echinocandin | C-2 |
| ICU patients with Candida from respiratory <br> secretions | Any antifungal | D-2 |
| Any patient with Candida isolated from a <br> blood culture | Antifungal treatment | A-2 |

A-D: Strength of the Recommendation
1-3: Quality of the Evidence

## 2012 ESCMID Guidelines for Candida diseases in non-neutropaenic adults

## Confirmed infection: Candida from blood culture

Strongly recommended: Echinocandins (A-1)

- Anidulafungin
- Micafungin
- Caspofungin

Moderately recommended: Liposomal ampho (B-1)

Voriconazole (B-1)
Marginally recommended: Fluconazole (C-1) Ampho B (C-2)

A-D: Strength of the Recommendation
1-3: Quality of the Evidence
Cornely O, et al. Clin Microbiol Infect 2012;18:19-37

## 2012 ESCMID Recommendations on antifungal prophylaxis in ICU patients

| Population \& Intention | Intervention |  <br> QoE | Note |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Recent Abdo surgery AND with perforation; <br> to prevent intra-abdominal candida <br> infection | Flucon 400mg od | $\mathrm{B}-1$ | $\mathrm{n}=43$ |
|  | Caspo 70/50mg od | $\mathrm{C}-2$ | $\mathrm{n}=19$ |
| ICU Surgical patients with LOS >3 days; to <br> prevent invasive candidiasis/candidaemia | Flucon 400mg od | $\mathrm{C}-1$ | $\mathrm{n}=260$ |
|  | Flucon 100mg od | $\mathrm{C}-1$ | $\mathrm{n}=204$ |
| Ventilated, LOS >3 days, CVC +/ - TPN or <br> RRT or pancreatitis or steroids; to prevent <br> invasive candidiasis/candidaemia | Caspo 50mg od | $\mathrm{C}-2$ | $\mathrm{n}=186$ |
| Surgical ICU patients | Ketocon 200mg od | $\mathrm{D}-1$ | $\mathrm{n}=57$ |
| ICU patients with risk factors; to prevent <br> invasive candidiasis/candidaemia | Itracon 400mg od | $\mathrm{D}-1$ | $\mathrm{n}=147$ |

Cornely O, et al. Clin Microbiol Infect 2012;18:19-37


## The Echinocandin trials

Anidulafungin vs. fluconazole

Caspofungin vs. amphotericin $B^{*}$

Micafungin 150 mg vs. caspofungin
Micafungin 100 mg vs. caspofungin

Micafungin vs. liposomal amphotericin B


## The Echinocandins

|  | Anidulafungin | Caspofungin | Micafungin |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of papers | $>50$ | $>140$ | $>60$ |
| Clinical experience | ++ | +++ | ++ |
| Interactions | +++ | ++ | +++ |
| Biofilm activity | +++ | +++ | +++ |
| In vitro activity | +++ | +++ | +++ |
| Neutropaenic data | ND | +++ | +++ |
| Dose in RRT | No change | No change | No change |
| Disseminated candidiasis | + | + | ND |
| Dose in liver disease | No change | Reduce | No change |
| Price (£/\$) | Anidula < Mica < Caspo |  |  |
| ND = No data | Based on PubMed search, data sheets \& BNF |  |  |

Question 6: A patient with a tunneled Hickman line develops a candidaemia, \& has severe sepsis thought secondary to the line. What is the correct line management?

1. It depends whether the Candida species is a biofilm producer
2. The line should always be removed; recovery cannot occur if the line is left in place
3. The line only needs to be removed if the patient deteriorates $\&$ develops shock
4. The line does not need to be removed if the patient is treated with an Echinocandin

## Candidaemia outcomes: biofilm vs. non-biofilm producers



Figure 1. Survival among patients with Candida bloodstream infection (CBSI) at $\mathbf{3 0}$ days. Patients were grouped according to the biofilmforming (BF) or non-biofilm-forming (NBF) Candida isolate (for all CBSIs), and according to receiving of highly active anti-biofilm (HAAB) or non-HAAB antifungal therapy (for BF CBSIs only). P-values for statistically significant differences between the groups are shown. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033705.g001


## Conclusions

- Mortality from Candida infections in the critically
ill remains high
- Outcome is likely to be significantly improved with:
- Earlier recognition with scoring systems $\&$ biomarkers
- Earlier antifungal therapy \&t source control
- More appropriate dosing
- Earlier use of Echinocandins in the more severe patients

